

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
FORT WORTH DIVISION

CONGHUA YAN

Plaintiff,
v.

THE STATE BAR OF TEXAS, et al.

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Civil Action No. 4:23-CV-758-P-BJ

**FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATION
REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SANCTIONS
TO COUNSEL MELVIN KEITH OGLE**

Pending before the Court is pro-se Plaintiff Conghua Yan's Motion for Sanctions to Counsel Melvin Keith Ogle [doc. 74], filed September 22, 2023. Having carefully considered the motion, Defendants Tarrant County and Judge Lori DeAngelis's response thereto, and any reply, the Court finds, concludes, and **RECOMMENDS** that the motion for sanctions [doc. 74] be **DENIED** for the reasons stated in Defendants Tarrant County and Judge Lori DeAngelis's response.

**NOTICE OF RIGHT TO OBJECT TO PROPOSED
FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION
AND CONSEQUENCES OF FAILURE TO OBJECT**

Under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), each party to this action has the right to serve and file specific written objections in the United States District Court to the United States Magistrate Judge's proposed findings, conclusions and recommendation within fourteen (14) days after the party has been served with a copy of this document. The United States District Judge need only make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the United States Magistrate Judge's proposed findings,

conclusions and recommendation to which specific objection is timely made. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Failure to file, by the date stated above, a specific written objection to a proposed factual finding or legal conclusion will bar a party, except upon grounds of plain error or manifest injustice, from attacking on appeal any such proposed factual findings and legal conclusions accepted by the United States District Judge. *See Douglass v. United Servs. Auto Ass’n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1428–29 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc), *superseded by statute on other grounds*, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending time to file objections from ten to fourteen days).

ORDER

Under 28 U.S.C. § 636, it is hereby **ORDERED** that each party is granted until October ____, 2023 to serve and file written objections to the United States Magistrate Judge’s proposed findings, conclusions and recommendation. It is further **ORDERED** that if objections are filed and the opposing party chooses to file a response, the response shall be filed within seven (7) days of the filing date of the objections.

SIGNED September ____, 2023.

JEFFREY L. CURETON
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE